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#### Abstract

Syntheses, properties, and synthetic applications of 13 -vertex closo- and nido-carboranes are reported. Reactions of the nido-carborane salt $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \mathrm{Na}_{2}$ with dihaloborane reagents afforded 13-vertex closo-carboranes $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3-\mathrm{R}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(2), \mathrm{Ph}(3), Z-\mathrm{EtCH}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Et})(4), E-\mathrm{BuCH}=$ $\mathrm{CH}(5))$. Treatment of the arachno-carborane salt $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \mathrm{Li}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{HBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ gave both the 13vertex carborane 2 and a 14-vertex closo-carborane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ (8). On the other hand, the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \mathrm{Li}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{HBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ generated only a 13 -vertex closo-carborane $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2^{-}}$ $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ (9). Electrophilic substitution reactions of 2 with excess $\mathrm{Mel}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ produced the hexa-substituted 13 -vertex carboranes $8,9,10,11,12,13-\mathrm{X}_{6}-1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-$ $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me}(10), \mathrm{Br}(11), \mathrm{I}(12))$. The halogenated products 11 and 12 displayed unexpected instability toward moisture. The 13-vertex closo-carboranes were readily reduced by groups 1 and 2 metals. Accordingly, several 13 -vertex nido-carborane dianionic salts $\left\{\right.$ nido-1,2- $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{2}(\mathrm{DME})_{2}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{THF})_{2}\right\}(13)$, $\left[\left\{\text { nido-1,2- }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}(13 \mathrm{a})$, $\left[\left\{\text { nido-1,2-( } \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3-\mathrm{Ph}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}-$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}$ (14), $\left[\left\{\text { nido-1,2- } \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}$ (15), and $\left\{\right.$ nido-1,2- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{THF})_{5}\right\}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mg}(16), \mathrm{Ca}(17))$ were prepared in good yields. These carbon-atom-adjacent nido-carboranes were not further reduced to the corresponding arachno species by lithium metal. On the other hand, like other nido-carborane dianions, they were useful synthons for the production of supercarboranes and supra-icosahedral metallacarboranes. Interactions of 13a with $\mathrm{HBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$, (dppe) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$, and (dppen) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ gave the 14 -vertex carborane 8 and nickelacarboranes $\left[\eta^{5}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right] \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppe})$ (18) and $\left[\eta^{5}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right] \mathrm{Ni}($ dppen ) (19), respectively. All complexes were fully characterized by various spectroscopic techniques and elemental analyses. Some were further confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies.


## Introduction

Icosahedral carboranes constitute a class of structurally unique molecules with exceptional thermal and chemical stabilities and with the ability to hold various substituents. ${ }^{1}$ These properties have made them useful basic units for weakly coordinating anions, ${ }^{2}$ boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT) drugs, ${ }^{3}$ and supramolecular design. ${ }^{4}$ The chemistry of sub-icosahedral

[^0]carboranes is also well developed. ${ }^{1,5}$ In sharp contrast, supercarboranes with more than 12 vertices $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{n+2}\right.$ with $\left.n>10\right)$ were totally unknown until the first 13 -vertex carborane 1,2$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$-3-Ph-1,2-C $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ was reported in 2003. ${ }^{6}$ Subsequently, the first 14 -vertex carborane $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ was successfully synthesized in $2005 .^{7}$ The use of CAd (carbon-atom-adjacent) carborane anions as starting materials is the key to accomplishing such a breakthrough. ${ }^{6-8}$
(4) (a) Yang, X.; Jiang, W.; Knobler, C. B.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 9719-9722. (b) Andrews, P. C.; Hardie, M. J.; Raston, C. L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 189, 169-198. (c) Schwab, P. F. H.; Levin, M. D.; Michl, J. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1863-1934. (d) Wedge, T. J.; Hawthorne, M. F. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 240, 111-128. (e) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M.-T.; Alvarez-Falcón, M. M. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4792-4797. (f) Jude, H.; Disteldorf, H.; Fischer, S.; Wedge, T.; Hawkridge, A. M.; Arif, A. M.; Hawthorne, M. F.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12131-12139. (g) Ma, L.; Hamdi, J.; Huang, J.; Hawthorne, M. F. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 7249-7258. (h) Herzog, A.; Jalisatgi, S. S.; Knobler, C. B.; Wedge, T. J.; Hawthorne, M. F. Chem.Eur. J. 2005, 11, 7155-7174.
(5) (a) Štíbr, B. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 225-250. (b) Siebert, W.; Maier, C.-J.; Maier, A.; Greiwe, P.; Bayer, M. J.; Hofmann, M.; Pritzkow, H. Pure Appl. Chem. 2003, 75, 1277-1286. (c) Grimes, R. N. Pure Appl. Chem. 2003, 75, 1211-1218. (d) Hosmane, N. S.; Maguire, J. A. Organometallics 2005, 24, 1356-1389.
(6) Burke, A.; Ellis, D.; Giles, B. T.; Hodson, B. E.; MacGregor, S. A.; Rosair, G. M.; Welch, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 225-228.
(7) Deng, L.; Chan, H.-S.; Xie. Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 21282131.

These achievements open up possibilities for the development of new chemistry of super-carboranes and a new generation of BNCT drugs having a higher boron content. ${ }^{9}$ This virtually unexplored area of research is especially attractive in view of the flourish chemistry displayed by their icosahedral cousins. ${ }^{1-4,10}$ Herein, we would like to present our detailed study on the synthesis, structure, and reactivity of 13 -vertex carboranes. Effects of the $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$-linkages and $\mathrm{RBX}_{2}$ reagents on the formation and reactivity of 13-vertex carboranes will be discussed, and the similarities and differences between the 12and 13-vertex carboranes will also be addressed. Supraicosahedral metallacarboranes derived from 13-vertex species will be described.

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Structure of 13-Vertex closo-Carboranes. Our previous work showed that the linkages between the two cage carbon atoms of $o$-carboranes can control the relative positions of the cage carbon atoms during the reactions with group 1 metals. ${ }^{8}$ Recent results indicated that these bridges may also play a role in stabilizing super-carboranes. ${ }^{6,7}$ Considering the rigidity and relatively small size of the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ unit, which may facilitate the capitation reaction with $\mathrm{RBX}_{2}, 1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-$ $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{1})^{7}$ was chosen as the starting material. Reduction of $\mathbf{1}$ with excess finely cut sodium metal in THF at room temperature gave presumably a nido-carborane salt $\left\{1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{x}\right\}$. Treatment of this salt with 2 equiv of dihaloborane reagents in toluene from -78 to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded, after column chromographic separation, 13-vertex carboranes 1,2-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3-\mathrm{R}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ (R $=\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{2})$, $\mathrm{Ph}(\mathbf{3}), \mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{EtCH}=$ $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Et})$ (4), $E-{ }^{-} \mathrm{BuCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ (5)) in $20-37 \%$ isolated yields (Scheme 1). A small amount of $\mathbf{1}(3-6 \%)$ and a mixture of other boron-containing compounds were obtained in all cases. Many attempts to separate these boron-containing species failed. The control experiments indicated that the use of 2 equiv of dihaloborane reagents offered the highest isolated yield of 13vertex carboranes. It was assumed that some boranes might be consumed by side reactions. More than 2 equiv of boranes were not necessary, which resulted in difficulty in separation. Donor solvents such as THF, DME, and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ led to a much lower yield.

In view of the isolated yield of $20 \%$ for $\mathbf{3}$ versus $6 \%$ for $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-3-\mathrm{Ph}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10},{ }^{6}$ it was suggested that $\mathbf{1}$ was a much better starting material than $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$. Such differences could be ascribed to the presence of the more sterically demanding $o$-xylyl bridge. This assumption was supported by the following experiments. Reaction of the arachno-carborane salt $\left[\left\{1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{4}-\right.\right.$ (THF) $\left.\left.)_{5}\right\}\right]_{2}$ (6) with 5.0 equiv of $\mathrm{HBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ gave both the 13vertex carborane $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}(2)$ and a 14 -vertex

[^1]Scheme 1. Preparation of 13-Vertex Carboranes from nido Species


Scheme 2. Preparation of 13 - and 14-Vertex Carboranes from arachno Species

carborane 1,2-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathbf{8})$ in $32 \%$ and $7 \%$ yields, ${ }^{7}$ respectively. However, treatment of the arachno-carborane salt $\left[\left\{1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{4}(\mathrm{THF})_{6}\right\}\right]_{2}(7)^{8 \mathrm{a}}$ with 5.0 equiv of $\mathrm{HBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ afforded only a 13-vertex carborane 1,2$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ (9), and no 14 -vertex species was detected (Scheme 2). These results suggested that the linkage played an important role in the preparation of super-carboranes. Single-crystal structures of $\mathbf{6}$ and 7 may offer some insight into these differences. The $o$-xylyl moiety in 7 folds toward the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ face, ${ }^{8 a}$ which may limit the access of the borane reagents to the open pentagonal bonding face. On the other hand, the trimethylene group has no interaction with the hexagonal $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}$ or pentagonal $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ face. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~d}}$

Compounds $\mathbf{2 - 5}, \mathbf{8}$, and $\mathbf{9}$ are quite stable in air and soluble in common organic solvents such as hexane, toluene, and THF. They were fully characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectroscopic techniques as well as high-resolution mass spectrometry. Both the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2}$ and 9 displayed a $1: 5: 5$ splitting pattern, whereas those of $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}$, and $\mathbf{5}$ showed the $1: 1: 3: 2: 4,1: 1: 4: 2: 3$, and $1: 1: 6: 3$ splitting patterns, respectively.

Single-crystal X-ray analyses revealed there are four and two crystallographically independent molecules, respectively, in the unit cells of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{9}$. Their representative structures are shown


Figure 1. Molecular structure of $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3-\mathrm{Ph}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{3})$, showing one of the four crystallographically independent molecules in the unit cell.


Figure 2. Molecular structure of 1,2- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}(\mathbf{9})$, showing one of the two crystallographically independent molecules in the unit cell.
in Figures 1 and 2. Selected bond distances are listed in Table 1. In view of the molecular structures of $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{9}$, and $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-3-\mathrm{Ph}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{9 P h}),{ }^{6}$ it is found that the connectivity of the cage carbon atoms in $\mathbf{3}$ is significantly different from those observed in $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{9 P h}$. Chart 1 illustrates the connectivity of the C (cage) -C (cage) unit with its lower layered five boron atoms. The four independent molecules in $\mathbf{3}$ occur as two pairs of similar molecules, and each of them are related by an approximate translation. One pair (3a, b) bears two trapezoidal faces with the others being triangulated, giving one five- and one four-coordinate cage carbon atoms, respectively. The other ( $\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{d}$ ) possesses one trapezoidal face and one bent pentagonal face, resulting in the formation of two four-coordinate cage carbon atoms. It is noted that in solution only one set of peaks was observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$, indicating a fast diamond-square-diamond process. ${ }^{11}$ Low-temperature NMR experiments were not able to arrest this transformation,

[^2]implying a small activation barrier. ${ }^{6}$ These results suggest that the tethering group has a large effect on the connectivity around the cage carbon atoms.

Methylation and Halogenation of 13-Vertex closo-Carboranes. Very rich electrophilic substitution reactions on icosahedral boranes ${ }^{12}$ and carboranes ${ }^{13,14}$ prompted us to examine the chemical properties of 13-vertex carboranes. Compound 2 was chosen for this purpose for its simplicity. Reaction of 2 with excess iodomethane in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ at room temperature for 2 days gave a hexamethylated 13-vertex carborane $8,9,10,11,12,13-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{6}-1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathbf{1 0})$ in $85 \%$ isolated yield (Scheme 3). This reaction was closely monitored by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectroscopy as $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ have distinct splitting patterns. Prolonged reaction did not lead to the complete methylation product but rather a mixture of polymethylated products as indicated by the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra. The hexahalogenated products $8,9,10,11,12,13-\mathrm{X}_{6}-1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-$ $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{1 1})$, $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{1 2 )})$ were obtained in $22 \%$ and $25 \%$ isolated yields by treatment of $\mathbf{2}$ with excess bromine and iodine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, respectively (Scheme 3). Higher halogenation products were not observed even under forced reaction conditions. Interaction of $\mathbf{2}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ led to a complete degradation of the cage, resulting in the formation of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$, which differed significantly from its icosahedral analogues. ${ }^{14 \mathrm{~h}}$

Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ is very stable in air and moisture and quite soluble in common organic solvents. However, $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ are hygroscopic and decomposed slowly in moist air. Such a process sped up in a basic media as indicated by the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. These results are very different from those obtained for their icosahedral cousins, ${ }^{12-14}$ which might be ascribed to the joint effects of the substituents and the more open trapezoidal faces presented in these 13-vertex carboranes. The low stability of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$

[^3]Table 1. Selected Bond Distances $(\AA)$ in 13-Vertex Carboranes

|  | 3a | 3b | 3c | 3d | 9 a | 9b | $9 \mathrm{Ph}^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 2.139(3) | 2.109(3) | 1.994(3) | 2.102(3) | 1.915(2) | 1.907(2) | 1.840(3) | 2.167(9) | 2.11(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | 1.635(3) | 1.631(3) | 1.567(3) | 1.596(3) | 1.622(2) | 1.615(2) | 1.569(3) | 1.627(7) | 1.60(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | 1.663(3) | 1.687(3) | 1.764(3) | 1.681(3) | 1.799(2) | 1.799(2) | 1.898(3) | 1.627(7) | 1.67(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $2.173(3)$ | 2.139(3) | $2.382(3)$ | 2.264 (3) | 2.404(2) | 2.440 (2) | $2.373(3)$ | $2.167(9)$ | 2.24(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 1.958(3) | 1.877(2) | 1.993(3) | 1.978(3) | 1.870(2) | 1.867(2) | 1.917(3) | 1.860(9) | 1.85(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | 2.588(3) | 2.629(3) | 2.470 (3) | 2.513(3) | 2.526(2) | 2.498(2) | 2.587(3) | 2.604(9) | 2.56(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | 1.779 (3) | 1.803(3) | 1.748(3) | 1.774 (3) | 1.821(2) | 1.820(2) | 1.766(2) | 1.860(9) | 1.86(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}(7)$ | 1.539(3) | 1.518(3) | $1.539(3)$ | 1.528(3) | 1.591(2) | 1.592(2) | 1.632(3) | $1.465(7)$ | 1.53(2) |
| av $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{X}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.587(9) | 1.94(1) |

${ }^{a}$ See ref $6 .{ }^{b}$ For $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me}$; for $\mathbf{1 1}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$.

Chart 1. Connectivity of the $\mathrm{C}($ cage $)-\mathrm{C}$ (cage) Unit with Boron Atoms in 13-Vertex Carboranes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ The distance of $1.96 \AA$ was arbitrarily chosen as the cutoff point for the representation of connectivities between the cage carbon and boron atoms.

Scheme 3. Methylation/Halogenation of 13-Vertex Carborane

toward water especially basic solutions led to poor isolated yields, although they were formed quantitatively in the reactions according to the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analyses since the basic solutions were used to remove excess halogen reagents during the workup process.

The electronic effects of the substituents on the cage are significant as shown in Figure 3. According to the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR chemical shifts of the $B-M e, B-B r$, and $B-I$ vertices, it might be best described that both Me and Br are more electronwithdrawing ${ }^{14 \mathrm{j}}$ and I is more electron-donating than the H atom in the 13 -vertex cages. A similar phenomenon was observed in a $7,8,9,10,11,12-\mathrm{X}_{6}-\mathrm{CB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{-}$system. ${ }^{13 e}$

The molecular structures of both $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were further confirmed by single-crystal X-ray analyses and shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The geometry of the cage and the connectivity around the cage carbon atoms in $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ are very similar to those of $\mathbf{3 b}$ (Table 1). All six methyl or bromo substituents are bound to the cage boron atoms that are the farthest away from the cage carbons because these boron vertices are the most electron-rich ones. The average $B-X$ bond distances of 1.587(9) $\AA$ in $\mathbf{1 0}$ and 1.94(1) $\AA$ in $\mathbf{1 1}$ are very close


Figure 3. Stick representation of the chemical shifts and relative intensities in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra of $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{X}_{6}$. The blue and black lines represent the BH and BX vertices, respectively.


Figure 4. Molecular structure of $8,9,10,11,12,13-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{6}-1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ (10).
to those of 1.58 (1) $\AA$ in $4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{8}-1,2-$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4},{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}} 1.60$ (1) $\AA$ in $9-\mathrm{X}-12-\mathrm{Y}-3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{8}$ -$1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I}),{ }^{14 j}$ and 1.95 (1) $\AA$ in $9,10,11,12-$ $\mathrm{Br}_{4}-1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{6} .{ }^{14 \mathrm{a}}$

Reactions of 13-Vertex closo-Carboranes with Groups 1 and 2 Metals. Synthesis and Structure of 13-Vertex nidoCarborane Salts. It is well documented that $o-\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ can be reduced by group 1 metals to form [nido- $\left.\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \mathrm{M}_{2}$ or [arachno- $\left.\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \mathrm{Li}_{4}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\right.$ linkages) salts. ${ }^{8,15}$ They are very useful synthons for the synthesis of metallacarboranes of p -, d -, and f -block elements and super-carboranes with 13 and 14 vertices. ${ }^{6,7,10,16}$ Treatment of 13-vertex closo-carboranes 2, 3,


Figure 5. Molecular structure of $8,9,10,11,12,13-\mathrm{Br}_{6}-1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ (11).
Scheme 4. Preparation of Group 1 Metal Complexes of 13-Vertex nido-Carboranes

and 9 with excess finely cut Na metal in THF at room temperature gave the corresponding 13-vertex nido-carborane salts [\{nido-1,2-( $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}(\mathbf{1 3 a})$, [ $\{n i-$ do-1,2-( $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3-\mathrm{Ph}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}$ (14), and [\{nido-$\left.\left.1,2-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}(\mathbf{1 5})$ in $80-85 \%$ yields (Scheme 4). It was noteworthy that these reactions proceeded much faster than those of icosahedral cages and naphthalene was not required, indicating that 13-vertex carboranes were more reactive than the 12 -vertex ones. ${ }^{8,15}$ Recrys-

[^4]

Figure 6. Molecular structure of $\left\{1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{2}(\mathrm{DME})_{2}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{THF})_{2}\right\}(13)$.
tallization of $\mathbf{1 5}$ from a dioxane solution afforded a nidocarborane salt of dioxane solvation [\{nido-1,2- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2} \text { (dioxane) }\right)_{0.5}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}(\mathbf{1 5 a})$.

Significantly different from 1, the reaction of 2 with excess finely cut lithium metal in THF gave, after recrystallization from DME, $\left.\left\{\text { nido-1,2-( } \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}(\mathrm{DME})_{2}\right\}$ (13) in $83 \%$ isolated yield. No arachno species was detected. This result indicates that the Li metal is unable to reduce the 13vertex nido-carborane although Li can readily reduce the 12 vertex nido-carborane to the corresponding arachno-species. ${ }^{8}$ It may be concluded that the 13 -vertex nido-carborane is a stronger reductant (or a weaker oxidant) than the 12 -vertex nidocarborane in the above redox reactions. This may be one of the reasons why 14 -vertex carboranes are less accessible than the 13-vertex species.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra supported a ratio of two THF and two DME molecules per cage in 13, four THF molecules per cage in 13a, 14, and 15 and four THF and a half dioxane molecules per cage in 15a, respectively. The ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra displayed a 1:5:5 splitting pattern in the range -9 to -25 ppm for $\mathbf{1 3}$, 13a, 15, and 15a and a 1:1:2:3:2:1:1 splitting pattern within -5 to -28 ppm for 14 . Their compositions were confirmed by elemental analyses. The molecular structures were subject to single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies.

Except for the monomeric structure of $\mathbf{1 3}$ that consists of well-separated, alternating layers of cations $[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{THF})(\mathrm{DME})]^{+}$ and dianions [nido- $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]^{2-}$ shown in Figure 6, others are coordination polymers in which sodium ions link the nidocarborane cages via either $\mathrm{Na} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ interactions in $\mathbf{1 4}$ (Figure 7) and $\mathbf{1 5}$ (Figure 8) or $\mathrm{Na} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (dioxane) bonding interactions
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Figure 7. (Top) A portion of the infinite polymeric chains in $\left[\left\{1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3-\mathrm{Ph}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}$ (14). (Bottom) Structure of the anion in 14.
in 15a (Figure 9) to form infinite zigzag polymeric chains. A common 13-vertex nido-carborane structural motif bearing an open five-membered face $(C(1) C(2) B(3) B(4) B(8))$ with a $B(3) \cdots B(4)$ separation of about $2.64 \AA$ is observed in all structures. Selected bond distances are compiled in Table 2 for comparison. The out-of-plane $(\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{B}(3) \mathrm{B}(4))$ displacement of the $B(8)$ atom ranges from 0.68 to $0.72 \AA$, which is larger than those observed in 12-vertex arachno-carborane tetraanions ( 0.60 to $0.63 \AA$ ). ${ }^{8 d}$ The data in Table 2 indicate that the $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ linkages do not have significant effects on the geometry of the open five-membered ring in 13 -vertex nido-carboranes.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no report on the reduction of boron-substituted $o$-carboranes. ${ }^{17}$ Although it is not possible to determine which bond breaks first in the reduction of 13-vertex carboranes since complexes $\mathbf{1 3 - 1 5}$ are all thermodynamic products as indicated by the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectroscopy (i.e., they do not isomerize upon heating), the formation of $\mathbf{1 4}$ suggests that the electron-withdrawing phenyl group favors the BPh vertex to be on the open five-membered face. Careful examination of the cage structures of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ can conclude that this transformation clearly involves several bond-forming and bond-breaking processes.

It is reported that carboranes can be reduced by group 2 metals to form metallacarboranes. ${ }^{18}$ As a more reactive species, 13-vertex closo-carboranes are readily reduced by alkaline earth metals. Treatment of $\mathbf{2}$ with excess activated Mg or Ca metal

[^6]powder in THF at room temperature gave the alkaline earth metal complexes $\left\{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{THF})_{5}\right\}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mg}(\mathbf{1 6})$, Ca (17)) in $\sim 70 \%$ yield (Scheme 5). Their ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra were identical with that of $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 13a, indicating that they should have the same nido-carborane dianion. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra supported a ratio of five THF molecules per cage for both 16 and 17.

An X-ray diffraction study revealed that $\mathbf{1 7}$ is a monomeric structure, consisting of a 13-vertex $\left[\text { nido- }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]^{2-}$ dianion and a pseudo-octahedral complex cation as shown in Figure 10. The $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ion does not bond to the bent pentagonal face but rather interacts with the anion via one set of two $\mathrm{Ca} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bondings. This is different from that of $\left[\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right]-$ $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4}$ in which the nido-carboranyl ligand is $\eta^{6}$-bound to the Ca atom. ${ }^{18 a}$ As shown in Table 2, the structural parameters of the anions are very close to those observed in $\mathbf{1 3}$.

Synthesis and Structure of $\mathbf{1 4 - V e r t e x ~ M e t a l l a c a r b o r a n e s . ~}$ Polyhedral expansion, a method originated by Hawthorne, ${ }^{19}$ has been widely used in the preparation of supra-icosahedral metallacarboranes. ${ }^{10,16,18,20}$ This prompted us to explore the
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Figure 8. (Top) A portion of the infinite polymeric chains in $\left[\left\{1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}$ (15). (Bottom) Structure of the anion in 15.


Figure 9. A portion of the infinite polymeric chains in $\left[\left\{1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\text { dioxane })_{0.5}(\mathrm{THF})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}(\mathbf{1 5 a})$.
applications of 13 -vertex nido-carborane salts in the preparation of 14 -vertex carboranes and metallacarboranes. Very recently we reported our preliminary results on the synthesis of a 14vertex carborane 1,2-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ from the reaction of

[^8]13a with $\mathrm{HBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ in toluene. ${ }^{7}$ Accordingly, we examined a series of reactions of $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ with different kinds of metal salts such as $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{ZrCl}_{4},\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2},\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2},\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\right.\right.$, and $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}$ in attempts to prepare 14 -vertex metallacarboranes. The results showed that the neutral 13-vertex carborane 2 was generated quantitatively according to the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analyses and was recovered in more than $90 \%$ yield after workup in the reactions with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{ZrCl}_{4},\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, and $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$. It was clear that the redox reactions proceeded because

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) for 13, 13a, 14, 15, 15a, and 17-19


|  | $13^{\text {a }}$ | 13a | 14 | 15 | 15a | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.503(10) | 1.529(8) | 1.552(6) | 1.553(5) | 1.547(6) | 1.541(5) | 1.491(6) | 1.512(9) |
|  | [1.552(9)] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $1.578(11)$ | 1.557(6) | 1.572(7) | 1.562(7) | 1.570(8) | $1.565(5)$ | 1.739(6) | 1.738(11) |
|  | [1.557(11)] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 1.573(12) | 1.557(6) | 1.580 (7) | 1.560(6) | 1.561(8) | 1.572(6) | 1.730(6) | 1.714(11) |
|  | [1.596(12)] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | 1.874(12) | 1.903(6) | 1.859(7) | 1.864(7) | 1.860(8) | 1.862(5) | 1.914(7) | 1.947(12) |
|  | [1.844(11)] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | 1.850(12) | 1.903(6) | 1.893(7) | 1.854(7) | 1.866(8) | 1.879(6) | 1.925(7) | 1.946(12) |
|  | [1.894(11)] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $B(3) \cdots B(4)$ | 2.628(18) | 2.677(6) | 2.653(9) | 2.584(8) | 2.614(10) | 2.650(6) | 2.854(7) | 2.869(12) |
|  | [2.657(18)] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d^{b}$ | 0.691 | 0.679 | 0.705 | 0.721 | 0.682 | 0.701 | 0.631 | 0.620 |
|  | [0.695] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.216(4) | 2.205(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.158(4) | $2.195(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.061(5) | 2.051(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.062(5) | 2.058(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.469(5) | 2.451(8) |

${ }^{a}$ Distances in brankets are those of a second molecule. ${ }^{b}$ Displacement of $\mathrm{B}(8)$ to the $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{B}(3) \mathrm{B}(4)$ plane.

Scheme 5. Preparation of Group 2 Metal Complexes of 13-Vertex nido-Carboranes


Scheme 6. Preparation of 14-Vertex Nickelacarboranes

of the very strong reducing power of 13 -vertex nido-carborane anions. In the case of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}$, the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra indicated the formation of new products in addition to 2 . Many attempts to isolate a pure 14 -vertex species from the reaction mixtures failed.

The above results suggested that prevention of the redox reactions is crucial for the preparation of 14 -vertex metallacarboranes. After screening a number of metal halides, it was found that (dppe) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}{ }^{21}$ and (dppen) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}^{22}$ (dppe $=1,2$-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane, dppen $=$ cis-1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethene) were suitable metal salts. They were treated with 1 equiv of $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ in THF to afford the desired 14-vertex nickelacarboranes $\left[\eta^{5}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right] \mathrm{Ni}\left(\right.$ dppe ) (18) and $\left[\eta^{5}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right] \mathrm{Ni}($ dppen ) (19) in $34 \%$ and $45 \%$ yields, respectively (Scheme 6). Complexes 18 and 19 were very
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Figure 10. Molecular structure of $\left\{1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{THF})_{5}\right\}$ (17).
sensitive to air and moisture. They decomposed slowly at room temperature in solution to generate $\mathbf{2}$ and presumably $\mathrm{Ni}(\text { dppe })_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Ni}(\text { dppen })_{2}$ as indicated by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. It was noted that $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}$ did not dissolve in common organic solvents such as THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ once crystallized out, which made NMR characterization infeasible. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of their hydrolysis products showed a ratio of one dppe or dppen and three THF molecules per cage.

Single-crystal X-ray analyses revealed that $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}$ are isomorphous and isostructural with three THFs of solvation, as


Figure 11. Molecular structure of $\left[\eta^{5}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right] \mathrm{Ni}($ dppe $)(\mathbf{1 8})$.


Figure 12. Molecular structure of $\left[\eta^{5}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right] \mathrm{Ni}$ (dppen) (19).
shown in Figures 11 and 12, respectively. Selected bond lengths are summarized in Table 2. The geometry of the 14 -vertex metallacarborane is a distorted-bicapped-hexagonal antiprism with two seven-coordinate boron vertices, which is similar to the 14 -vertex carborane. ${ }^{7}$ Different from 13- and 14 -vertex metallacarboranes of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10}$ systems ${ }^{20 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}}$ in which the metal is often bound to the carborane cage in an $\eta^{6}$ fashion, the Ni atom in 18 and 19 is bound to the bent open face $(\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2)$ $\mathrm{B}(3) \mathrm{B}(4) \mathrm{B}(8))$ in an $\eta^{5}$ fashion with relatively long $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ bond distances of $2.469(5) \AA$ in 18 and 2.451(1) $\AA$ in 19 , respectively. The open pentagonal bonding faces in $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}$ are much larger than those observed in 13-15a and $\mathbf{1 7}$ as indicated by the bond distances (Table 2). On the other hand, the out-of-plane displacement of the $\mathrm{B}(8)$ atom is significantly smaller than that found in groups 1 and 2 metal salts of the 13-vertex $\left[\text { nido- }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]^{2-}$. These changes imply that the open bonding face in 13-vertex nido-carborane dianion is quite flexible. Except for the $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ bond, other $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{B}$, and $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P}$ bond distances in $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}$ (Table 2) are very close to those observed in the known nickelacarboranes such as $\left[\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right] \mathrm{Ni}(\text { dppe })^{23}$ and $\left[\eta^{5}-2,4-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}-2,4-\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right] \mathrm{Ni}($ TMEDA $) .{ }^{24}$ Complexes $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}$ are best described as $18 \mathrm{e}^{-}$species, in which nido-carboranyl acts as a $6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \pi$ ligand.

## Conclusion

This paper reports in detail the syntheses, properties, and synthetic applications of 13-vertex closo- and nido-carboranes. The results show that the capitation reactions of CAd 12-vertex nido-carborane dianionic salts with dihaloboranes are generally a good method for the preparation of 13 -vertex carboranes. The C, $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$-linkages of the cages have a large effect on the formation of 13-vertex carboranes. Less sterically demanding linkages and dihaloboranes usually offer higher synthetic yields. These supercarboranes have more diverse structures than their icosahedral cousins. Different isomers are observed in the solid-state structures of 13 -vertex species with various connectivity patterns of the cage carbon atoms.

Electrophilic substitution of BH vertices on 13-vertex closocarborane has been achieved. Hexamethylated and hexahalogenated 13-vertex closo-carboranes have been prepared. Surprisingly, the latter decomposes slowly upon exposure to moisture, whereas the polyhalogenated icosahedral carboranes are very stable to water.

13-Vertex closo-carboranes are readily reduced by groups 1 and 2 metals, affording CAd 13-vertex nido-carborane dianions with a bent pentagonal face. These CAd dianions are inert toward Li metal, which is significantly different from their 12vertex analogues. No CAd 13-vertex arachno-carborane tetraanions are observed.

Although CAd 13-vertex carborane dianions are stronger reducing reagents than the corresponding 12 -vertex ones as evidenced by the reaction with Li metal, they are new $\pi$ ligands for transition metals. The isolation and structural characterization of the 14-vertex carborane and nickelacarboranes clearly show that CAd 13-vertex nido-carborane dianions are useful synthons for the production of super-carboranes and -heteroboranes. It is anticipated that a new class of 14 -vertex heterocarboranes derived from 13-vertex carboranes would be prepared in the foreseeable future.

## Experimental Section

General Procedures. All experiments were performed under an atmosphere of dry dinitrogen with the rigid exclusion of air and moisture using standard Schlenk or cannula techniques or in a glovebox. All organic solvents were freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl immediately prior to use. $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{1}){ }^{7}\left[\left\{1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}{ }^{-}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{4}(\mathrm{THF})_{5}\right\}\right]_{2}(6),{ }^{7}\left[\left\{1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{4}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.(\mathrm{THF})_{6}\right\}\right]_{2}(7),{ }^{8 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Z}$ - $\mathrm{EtCH}=\mathrm{CEtBBr}{ }_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2},{ }^{25} \mathrm{E}-{ }^{〔} \mathrm{BuCH}=\mathrm{CHBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2},{ }^{25}$ activated Mg and Ca powder, ${ }^{26}$ (dppe) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2},{ }^{21}$ and (dppen) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}{ }^{22}$ were prepared according to the literature methods. All other chemicals were purchased from either Aldrich or Acros Chemical Co. and used as received unless otherwise noted. Infrared spectra were obtained from KBr pellets prepared in the glovebox on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 Fourier transform spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX 300 spectrometer at 300.13 and 75.47 MHz , respectively. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 400 spectrometer at 128.32 MHz . All chemical shifts were reported in $\delta$ units with

[^10]references to the residual protons of the deuterated solvents for proton and carbon chemical shifts and to external $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(0.00 \mathrm{ppm})$ for boron chemical shifts. Mass spectra were recorded on a Thermo Finnigan MAT 95 XL spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by MEDAC Ltd., Middlesex, U.K.

Preparation of $\left.\mathbf{1 , 2 - (} \mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{- 1 , 2}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{B}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ (2). To a THF ( 30 mL ) solution of $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{1} ; 1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added finely cut Na metal $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 21.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a catalytic amount of naphthalene $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 days, giving a deep green solution. Removal of THF afforded a brown solid, presumably $\left[\right.$ nido $\left.-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{x}\right]$. Toluene ( 30 mL ) was then added, giving a yellow suspension. $\mathrm{HBBr}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}(20.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1.0 M in dichloromethane, 20.0 mmol ) was slowly added to the suspension at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1 h and then at room temperature for 6 h . Chromatographic separation $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 300-400\right.$ mesh, $n$-hexane as elute) afforded $\mathbf{1}(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \%)$ and $2(0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%)$ both as a white solid. Mp 60-61 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.18$ (m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 49.11\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $25.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=186 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right), 0.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=186 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $5 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-1.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=186 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}}$ 2570 (vs). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~B}_{11}{ }^{+}$: 195.2457. Found: 195.2455.

Preparation of $\mathbf{1 , 2 -}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathbf{3}-\mathbf{P h}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{10}$ (3). Following the procedures described for $\mathbf{2}, \mathrm{PhBCl}_{2}(2.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with a suspension of $\left[\right.$ nido- $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{x}\right](10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 30 mL ). Compounds $\mathbf{1}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \%)$ and $3(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%)$ were obtained as a white solid. X-ray-quality crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ were grown from a saturated $n$-hexane solution at room temperature. Mp 97-98 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 3.05\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 134.1,129.6,128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 47.56$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.57\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the CB and cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{Ph}), 1.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=\right.$ $186 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-2.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=146 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right),-3.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=\right.$ $128 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-7.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=166 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $v_{\mathrm{BH}} 2566$ (vs). HRMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{11}{ }^{+}$: 270.2578. Found: 270.2581.

Preparation of $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3$ - $(\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{EtCH}=\mathrm{CEt})-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ (4). Following the procedures described for 2, $Z-\mathrm{EtCH}=\mathrm{CEtBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ ( 20.0 mmol in 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) was reacted with a suspension of [nido- $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{x}\right](10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Compound $4(0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \%)$ was obtained as a colorless oil with the recovery of $1(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 3.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $0.97\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 146.4,139.2$ (vinyl carbon), $47.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.19$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 10.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{C}), 5.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=\right.$ $145 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=151 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right), 0.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=130\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-3.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=153 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}}$ 2565 (vs). HRMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~B}_{11}{ }^{+}$: 278.3204. Found: 278.3204.

Preparation of $1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3-(E-t \mathrm{BuCH}=\mathrm{CH})-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \quad$ (5). Following the procedures described for 2, $E-{ }^{-} \mathrm{BuCH}^{2}=\mathrm{CHBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ ( 20.0 mmol in 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) was reacted with a suspension of [nido- $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{x}\right](10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Compound $5(0.83 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%)$ was obtained as a colorless oil with the recovery of $1(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{t} \mathrm{BuCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 5.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{t} \mathrm{BuCH}=\mathrm{C} H\right), 3.15(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 137.0,128.2$ (vinyl carbon), $47.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.90\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.86\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 25.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{C}), 3.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=186 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right), 0.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-3.90$
$\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=128 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right)$. IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2564$ (vs). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~B}_{11}{ }^{+}$: 278.3204. Found: 278.3200.

Preparation of $\left.\mathbf{1 , 2 - (} \mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathbf{- 1 , 2}-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{B}_{12} \mathbf{H}_{12} \mathbf{( 8 )}$. To a toluene ( 50 mL ) suspension of $\left[\left\{1,2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{4}(\mathrm{THF})_{5}\right\}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{6} ; 8.60 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0$ mmol) was slowly added $\mathrm{HBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(75.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1.0 M in dichloromethane, 75.0 mmol ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1 h and then at room temperature for 6 h . Removal of the precipitate and solvents gave a brownish sticky solid. Chromatographic separation $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 300-400\right.$ mesh, $n$-hexane as elute) afforded $1(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \%), 2(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%)$, and 8 ( $0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \%$ ), respectively, all as a white solid. $\mathrm{Mp} 88-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $3.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 40.73\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=154\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}), 5.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=154 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right), 2.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=160 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-4.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=180 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right),-6.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=151 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-9.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=168 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right),-12.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=159 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-24.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=145 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}}$ 2566 (vs). HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~B}_{12}{ }^{+}$: 208.2592. Found: 208.2583.

Preparation of $\mathbf{1 , 2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathbf{- 1 , 2 - \mathrm { C } _ { 2 }} \mathbf{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ (9). Following the procedures described for $8 . \mathrm{HBBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(25.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1.0 M in dichloromethane, 25.0 mmol ) was reacted with [ $\left\{1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Li}_{4}(\mathrm{THF})_{6}\right\}\right]_{2}(7 ; 7.20 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 30 mL ). 1,2$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%)$ and $9(0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \%)$ were obtained both as a white solid. X-ray-quality crystals of 9 were grown from a saturated $n$-hexane solution at room temperature. Mp 106-108 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}\right)$, $4.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 130.8,128.5,126.6$ $\left(C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 50.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=128 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right), 2.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=128 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $5 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}),-0.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=146 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}}$ 2566 (vs). HRMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~B}_{11}{ }^{+}$: 256.2421. Found: 256.2424.
 To an iodomethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathbf{2}(196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 days to give a red-brown turbid solution. After filtration, the solid was washed with $n$-hexane ( 10 mL $\times 2$ ). The combined organic solution was treated with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution to remove acid and then with an aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution. After drying with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, removal of the solvent yielded a pale yellow oil. Flash chromatographic separation $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 300-400\right.$ mesh, $n$-hexane as elute) afforded $\mathbf{1 0}(234 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ as a white solid. X-ray-quality crystals were grown from a saturated $n$-hexane solution at room temperature. Mp $125-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.10(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.17(\mathrm{br}$, $15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), -0.12 (br, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 124.4$ (cage carbon), $47.97\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.23\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.92$ (br, $C \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{Me}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{Me})$, $-9.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=146 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2557(\mathrm{~s})$. HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~B}_{11}{ }^{+}$: 279.3282. Found: 279.3280 .
 To a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $2(196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added excess bromine $(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h to give a deep red turbid solution. After filtration, the solid was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic solution was treated with a cold saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous solution and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent yielded a brown solid. Recrystallization from dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded $\mathbf{1 1}(168 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%)$ as yellow crystals. Mp. $181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \quad$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 122.5$ (cage carbon), $48.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{Br}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{BBr}),-7.91$
$\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=169 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{H}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2592$ (s). HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Br}_{6}{ }^{+}$: 669.6990. Found: 669.6964.

Preparation of $\left.\mathbf{8 , 9 , 1 0 , 1 1 , 1 2 , 1 3 - I _ { 6 }} \mathbf{- 1 , 2 - (} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathbf{- 1 , 2 - \mathrm { C } _ { 2 }} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ (12). To a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $2(196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added excess iodine ( $2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( 33 mg , 0.25 mmol ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 days to give a deep red turbid solution. After filtration, the solid was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic solution was treated with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and then an aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent yielded a dark red solid. Recrystallization from dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded $\mathbf{1 2}$ (210 mg, 22\%) as deep red crystals. Mp $230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.01(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum was not obtained for the very poor solubility of $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{I}),-1.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{BH}}=176 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{~B}\right.$, $B \mathrm{H}),-8.73(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{~B}, B \mathrm{I})$. IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2592(\mathrm{w}) . \mathrm{HRMS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{I}_{6}{ }^{+}$: 951.6220 . Found: 951.6220.

Preparation of $\left\{\mathbf{1 , 2}-\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}^{\left.\mathbf{- 1}, \mathbf{2}-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{B}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathbf{L i}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathrm{DME})_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{T H F})_{2}\right\}}\right.$ (13). To a THF ( 10 mL ) solution of 1,2- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}(\mathbf{2} ; 196$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added finely cut Li metal $(90.0 \mathrm{mg}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 day to give a red solution. Removal of excess Li and THF yielded a pale yellow solid. Recrystallization from DME afforded $\mathbf{1 3}$ as colorless crystals (443 mg, 83\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 3.66$ (m, 8H, THF), 3.50 (m, 8H, DME), 3.28 (m, 12H, DME), $2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.21$ (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THF}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine$d_{5}$ ): $\delta 71.44,58.05$ (DME), 67.32, 25.25 (THF), $43.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $29.26\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta-8.67$ (1), $-14.36(5),-24.56(5)$ IR ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2427$ (vs). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10.5}$ ( $\mathbf{1 3}$ - 0.5 THF): C, 45.79; H, 9.91. Found: C, 45.79; H, 9.96.
 This complex was prepared as colorless crystals using the same procedures described for $\mathbf{1 3}$ from 1,2-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}(\mathbf{2} ; 196 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and finely cut Na metal ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 25 $\mathrm{mL})$ : yield $425 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}$, THF), $2.46\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}\right.$, THF). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 67.15,25.11$ (THF), $42.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta-9.01(1),-14.67$ (5), -24.64 (5). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2501$ (vs). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathbf{1 3 a}$ - THF): C, 44.54; H, 9.02. Found: C, 44.20; H, 9.03.

Preparation of $\left[\left\{\mathbf{1 , 2}-\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathbf{3}-\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{B}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{10}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathbf{T H F})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}$ (14). This complex was prepared as colorless crystals using the same procedures described for $\mathbf{1 3}$ from 1,2- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-3-\mathrm{Ph}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{3} ; 272$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and finely cut Na metal ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL}):$ yield $515 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine- $\left.d_{5}\right): \delta 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THF}), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.61 (m, 16H, THF). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta$ 134.3, 125.7 $\left(C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 67.18,25.14$ (THF), $41.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the $C \mathrm{~B}$ and cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine$\left.d_{5}\right): \delta-5.51(1),-8.92(1),-11.68(2),-17.61$ (3), -22.26 (2), -26.08 (1), -27.22 (1). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2596$ (vs). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathbf{1 4}-2 \mathrm{THF}): \mathrm{C}, 49.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.46$. Found: C, 49.20; H, 8.43.

Preparation of $\left[\left\{1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathbf{T H F})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}$ (15). This complex was prepared as colorless crystals using the same procedures described for $\mathbf{1 3}$ from 1,2- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}(\mathbf{9} ; 258$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and finely cut Na metal ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ : yield $504 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THF}), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THF}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 142.6,126.1,124.7$ $\left(C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 67.18,25.14$ (THF), $46.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): -6.77 (1), -12.55 (5), -23.38
(5). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $v_{\mathrm{BH}} 2524$ (vs). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ( $\mathbf{1 5}$ - THF): C, 50.77 ; H, 8.33. Found: C, 51.11 ; H, 8.48.

Preparation of $\left[\left\{\mathbf{1 , 2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- 1}, \mathbf{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Na}_{2} \text { (dioxane) }\right)_{0.5}\right.$ $\left.\left.(\mathbf{T H F})_{4}\right\}\right]_{n}(\mathbf{1 5 a})$. This compound was prepared from the recrystallization of $\mathbf{1 5}(593 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane: yield $540 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}\right)$, 3.65 ( $\mathrm{m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THF}$ ), 3.62 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, dioxane), 3.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.60 (m, 16H, THF). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 142.6,126.1,124.7$ $\left(C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 67.18,25.14$ (THF), 66.51 (dioxane), $46.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta-6.77$ (1), -12.55 (5), -23.38 (5). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2515$ (vs). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathbf{1 5 a}$ - THF): C, 51.25; H, 8.06. Found: C, 51.33; H, 8.55.

Preparation of $\left\{1,2-\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Mg}(\mathbf{T H F})_{5}\right\}$ (16). This complex was prepared as a white powder using the same procedures described for 13 from 1,2- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}(2 ; 196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and freshly prepared Mg powder ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 25 mL ): yield $448 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}$, THF), $2.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.62$ (m, 20H, THF). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 67.18,25.14$ (THF), $42.69\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.33\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta-9.00$ (1), -14.62 (5), -24.62 (5). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): $\nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2488$ (vs), 2448 (vs), 2348 (s). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{MgO}_{0.5}(\mathbf{1 6}-4.5$ THF): C, $32.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.25$. Found: C, 32.69; H, 8.62.

Preparation of $\left\{\mathbf{1 , 2 - (}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{THF})_{5}\right\}$ (17). This complex was prepared as colorless crystals using the same procedures described for $\mathbf{1 3}$ from 1,2- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}(\mathbf{2} ; 196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and freshly prepared Ca powder $(80 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ : yield $436 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 3.65$ (m, 20H, THF), $2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THF}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 67.15,25.12$ (THF), $42.75\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.34\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; the cage carbons were not observed. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta-11.00$ (1), -15.86 (5), -26.10 (5). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2499$ (vs), 2464 (vs). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{CaO}_{4}(\mathbf{1 7}-\mathrm{THF}): \mathrm{C}, 48.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.41$. Found: C, 47.69; H, 9.03.

Preparation of $\left.\left[\eta^{5}-\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)\right)_{3} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{B}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{11}\right] \mathbf{N i}($ dppe $)$ (18). To a THF (10 $\mathrm{mL})$ suspension of (dppe) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(528 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was slowly added a THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathbf{1 3 a}(563 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 6 h to give a deep red solution. After filtration, the resulting red solution was concentrated to about 10 mL . Complex $\mathbf{1 8} \cdot 3 \mathrm{THF}$ was obtained as dark red crystals after this solution stood at room temperature for 1 day ( $296 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ). NMR data were not obtainable as this complex did not redissolve in common organic solvents. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2529$ (vs). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{NiOP}_{2}(\mathbf{1 8}+\mathrm{THF}): \mathrm{C}, 57.96 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.81$. Found: C, 58.22; H, 7.12.

Preparation of $\left[\eta^{5}-\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{B}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{11}\right] \mathbf{N i}$ (dppen) (19). To a THF (10 $\mathrm{mL})$ suspension of (dppen) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(526 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was slowly added a THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathbf{1 3 a}(563 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 6 h to give a deep red solution. After filtration, the resulting red solution was concentrated to about 10 mL . Complex $\mathbf{1 9} \cdot \mathbf{3 T H F}$ was obtained as dark red crystals after this solution stood at room temperature for 1 day ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ). NMR data were not obtainable as this complex did not redissolve in common organic solvents. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \nu_{\mathrm{BH}} 2532$ (vs). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{NiP}_{2}$ (19): C, 57.18; H, 6.04. Found: C, 57.52; H, 6.39.

X-ray Structure Determination. Except for 3, 9, and 10, all single crystals were immersed in Paraton-N oil and sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in thinwalled glass capillaries. Data were collected at 293 K on a Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer using Mo K $\alpha$ radiation. An empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS program. ${ }^{27}$ All structures were solved by direct methods and subsequent Fourier difference techniques and refined anisotropically for all nonhydrogen atoms by full-matrix least squares calculations on $F^{2}$ using

Table 3. Crystal Data and Summary of Data Collection and Refinement for 3, 9, 10, 11, and 13

|  | 3 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~B}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~B}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{106} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{Li}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ |
| crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.10$ | $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.20$ | $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.30$ | $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$ | $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$ |
| fw | 272.2 | 258.2 | 280.3 | 669.5 | 1068.9 |
| crystal system | orthorhombic | orthorhombic | orthorhombic | orthorhombic | orthorhombic |
| space group | Pca2 ${ }_{1}$ | Pca2 ${ }_{1}$ | Pnma | Pbca | Pca $1_{1}$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 17.164(1) | 29.984(2) | 13.549(12) | 12.435(2) | 26.847(2) |
| $b$, $\AA$ | 12.497(1) | 6.958(1) | 10.789(9) | 17.434(3) | 11.269(1) |
| c, A | 30.441(2) | 14.805(1) | 12.519(11) | 17.516(3) | 22.190(2) |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 6529.3(7) | 3088.5(3) | 1830(3) | 3797.3(10) | 6713.4(9) |
| Z | 16 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| $D_{\text {calcd }}, \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1.108 | 1.110 | 1.017 | 2.398 | 1.058 |
| radiation ( $\lambda$ ), $\AA$ ¢ | Mo K $\alpha$ (0.710 73) | Mo K ${ }^{(0.710} 73$ ) | Mo K $\alpha$ (0.710 73) | Mo K $\alpha$ (0.710 73) | Mo K ${ }^{(0.710} 73$ ) |
| $2 \theta$ range, deg | 2.6 to 52.0 | 2.7 to 56.0 | 5.0 to 48.0 | 4.6 to 50.0 | 3.4 to 48.0 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.047 | 12.670 | 0.065 |
| $F(000)$ | 2272 | 1072 | 600 | 2448 | 2304 |
| no. of obsd reflns | 10488 | 7358 | 1523 | 3344 | 10527 |
| no. of params refnd | 795 | 380 | 136 | 199 | 722 |
| goodness of fit | 0.960 | 0.994 | 1.610 | 1.017 | 0.994 |
| R1 | 0.066 | 0.060 | 0.092 | 0.062 | 0.090 |
| $w$ R2 | 0.155 | 0.135 | 0.311 | 0.156 | 0.222 |

Table 4. Crystal Data and Summary of Data Collection and Refinement for 14, 15, 15a, 17, 18.3THF, and 19.3THF

|  | 14 | 15 | 15a | 17 | 18.3THF | 19.3THF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{CaO}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{NiO}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{NiO}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { crystal size } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.30$ | $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$ | $0.50 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$ | $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$ | $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$ | $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$ |
| fw | 606.6 | 1185.1 | 634.6 | 596.7 | 869.5 | 867.5 |
| crystal system | monoclinic | orthorhombic | monoclinic | monoclinic | triclinic | triclinic |
| space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ | Pbca | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 15.322(5) | 11.734(1) | 10.662(1) | 9.824(1) | 11.002(1) | 10.961(2) |
| b, $\AA$ | 11.640(4) | 24.069(1) | 28.285(2) | 19.279(2) | 11.663(1) | 11.703(2) |
| c, $\AA$ | 20.559(7) | 24.633(1) | 12.822(1) | 18.281(2) | 20.388(2) | 20.509(3) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 81.85(1) | 82.87(1) |
| $\beta$, deg | 96.73(1) | 90 | 100.73(1) | 92.97(1) | 77.99(1) | 80.04(1) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 68.84(1) | 67.55(1) |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 3641(2) | 6956.7(6) | 3799.1(5) | 3457.9(5) | 2380.1(4) | 2389.9(7) |
| Z | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $D_{\text {calcd }}, \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1.106 | 1.132 | 1.109 | 1.146 | 1.213 | 1.205 |
| radiation ( $\lambda$ ), $\AA$ | Mo K ${ }_{(0.710} 73$ ) | Mo K $\alpha$ (0.710 73) | Mo K $\alpha$ (0.710 73) | Mo K $\alpha$ (0.710 73) | Mo K $\alpha$ (0.710 73) | Mo K ${ }_{(0.710} 73$ ) |
| $2 \theta$ range, deg | 3.2 to 50.0 | 3.4 to 50.0 | 2.9 to 48.0 | 4.2 to 50.0 | 3.8 to 50.0 | 2.0 to 48.0 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.085 | 0.088 | 0.087 | 0.214 | 0.512 | 0.510 |
| $F(000)$ | 1296 | 2528 | 1352 | 1288 | 920 | 916 |
| no. of obsd reflns | 6394 | 6117 | 5966 | 6090 | 8281 | 7514 |
| no. of params refnd | 397 | 432 | 459 | 380 | 541 | 541 |
| goodness of fit | 1.014 | 1.062 | 1.040 | 1.023 | 1.012 | 1.019 |
| R1 | 0.088 | 0.080 | 0.098 | 0.058 | 0.064 | 0.079 |
| $w$ R2 | 0.245 | 0.221 | 0.274 | 0.150 | 0.175 | 0.203 |

the SHELXTL program package. ${ }^{28}$ All hydrogen atoms were geometrically fixed using the riding model. There were four crystallographically independent molecules in the unit cell of $\mathbf{3}$ and two in $\mathbf{9}$ and 13, respectively. The molecular structures of 18 and 19 contained three THFs of solvation. Crystal data and details of data collection and structure refinements were given in Tables 3 and 4.
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